

Exh# 4

Page 1 of 32

1	Step	ohen P. St. Cyr & Associates
2		xy Oaks Drive
3		eford, Me. 04005
4		423-0215
5	steph	enpstcyr@yahoo.com
6 7		Direct Testimony of Stephen P. St. Cyr in DW 17-165
8		
9 10	Q.	Please state your name and address.
11 12 13	A.	Stephen P. St. Cyr of Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates, 17 Sky Oaks Drive, Biddeford, Me. 04005.
14 15 16	Q.	Please state your present employment position and summarize your professional and educational background.
10 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	A.	I am presently employed by St. Cyr & Associates, which provides accounting, tax, management and regulatory services. The Company devotes a significant portion of the practice to serving utilities. The Company has a number of regulated water utilities among its clientele. I have prepared and presented a number of rate case filings before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission. Prior to establishing St. Cyr & Associates, I worked in the utility industry for 16 years, holding various managerial accounting and regulatory positions. I have a Business Administration degree with a concentration in accounting from Northeastern University in Boston, Ma. I obtained my CPA certificate in Maryland.
28 29	Q.	Is St. Cyr & Associates presently providing services to Abenaki Water Company ("Abenaki" or "Company")?
30 31 32 33 34	A.	Yes. St. Cyr & Associates prepared the various exhibits and supporting schedules and prepared the written testimony and other rate case filing requirements. In addition, St. Cyr & Associates prepares Abenaki's PUC Annual Report.
35 36 37 38	Q.	Are you familiar with the pending rate application of Rosebrook and with the various exhibits submitted as Schedules 1 through 4 inclusive, with related pages and attachments?
39 40 41	A.	Yes, I am. The exhibits were prepared by me, utilizing the financial records of the Company.
42 43	Q.	What is the test year that Rosebrook is using in this filing?
44 45	A.	Rosebrook is utilizing the twelve months ended September 30, 2017.

*

Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 1 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005 4 207-423-0215 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6 7 Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of Rosebrook. Q. 8 9 Α. In 2016 Rosebrook was purchased by Abenaki. Since its purchase, Abenaki has 10 invested in Rosebrook's plant, mostly meters. Rosebrook has a well-documented 11 pressure problem. Rosebrook is looking at ways to address the pressure problem 12 including designing the engineering plans and specification and obtaining the 13 necessary easements reflected in the proposed step increase. Rosebrook will need 14 additional financing for the pressure reduction project. 15 16 For the twelve months ended September 30, 2017 (the test year) the actual net 17 loss amounted to \$27,247. Abenaki has been losing money on Rosebrook since 18 its acquisition. With the proposed increase in rates and revenues, Rosebrook 19 should be able to eliminate the net loss, recover its investments, earn a fair and 20 reasonable rate of return on its investment and continue to provide service to its 21 customers at fair and reasonable rates. 22 23 **Q**. Is there anything else prior to summarizing the schedules? 24 25 Α. Yes. Rosebrook seeks Commission approval of a year-end rate base. While the 26 Company has always believed that a year-end rate base is appropriate, that is 27 particularly true for Rosebrook given the investments Abenaki has made since the 28 purchase of the water system. All of these investments are "used and useful" and 29 providing service to customers. 30 31 Rosebrook is also seeking recovery of its due diligence costs. These are the costs 32 that were incurred in the process of purchasing the water system and gaining PUC 33 approval (DW 16-448). The recovery of these costs consistent with the PUC's 34 approval of similar due diligence costs incurred when Abenaki purchased 35 Lakeland and White Rock. Rosebrook is proposing to amortize the due diligence 36 costs over an 8 year period. 37 38 In addition, Rosebrook is also seeking recovery of its 10% premium. These are 39 the costs incurred above the book value of the assets purchased. Abenaki's parent 40 company, New England Service Company, brings management, operational, 41 financial and administrative strengths that have not been previously available to 42 Rosebrook. Abenaki's purchase of Rosebrook has been seamless and a beneficial 43 transition for its customers. There have been several enhancements within the 44 first year of ownership that demonstrate Abenaki's commitment to prudent 45 investments that not only improve the system's integrity but customer experience 46 as well. There has been a commitment to address the long standing pressure

Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 1 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005 4 207-423-0215 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6 7 problem. Rosebrook proposes to amortize the 10% premium over an 8 year 8 period. 9 10 Finally, Rosebrook is using an 11.6% return on equity. This represents a 2% 11 increase in percentage above what the Commission has recently allowed for water 12 companies. Rosebrook believes that the 2% is probably the minimal, acceptable 13 percentage. It believes that it arguably could be in the range of 2% - 4%. As 14 such, Abenaki is collaborating with two other small water companies in engaging 15 a cost of equity expert to prepare testimony focused on size and risk The 16 companies expect a petition pertaining to the subject will be filed with the 17 Commission in the next 45 - 60 days. 18 19 Q. Would you please summarize the schedules? 20 21 Α. Yes. The schedule entitled "Computation of Revenue Deficiency for the Test 22 Year ended September 30, 2017," summarizes the supporting schedules. The 23 actual revenue deficiency for Rosebrook for the test year amounts to \$48,905. It 24 is based upon an actual test year with a 4 quarter average rate base of \$488,114 as 25 summarized in Schedule 3. The Company's actual rate of return is 6.74% for the 26 actual test year. The rate of return of 6.74%, when multiplied by the rate base of 27 \$488,114, results in an operating income requirement of \$32,920. As shown on 28 Schedule 1, the actual net operating income (loss) for the Company for the test 29 year was (\$15,985). The operating income required, less the net operating income 30 (loss), results in an operating income deficiency before taxes of \$48,905. The 31 Company did not calculate the tax effect of the revenue deficiency, resulting in a 32 revenue deficiency for the Company of \$48,905. 33 34 The pro forma revenue deficiency for the Company for the test year amounts to 35 zero. It is based upon a pro formed test year rate base of \$625,578, as 36 summarized in Schedule 3. The Company is utilizing a pro formed rate of return 37 of 7.78% for the pro formed test year. The pro formed rate of return of 7.78% 38 when multiplied by the rate base of \$625,578, results in an operating net income 39 requirement of \$48,673. 40 As shown on Schedule 1, the pro formed net operating income for the Company 41 42 for the test year is \$48,673. The operating income required, less the net operating income, results in a deficiency of zero. The tax effect of the deficiency is zero, 43 44 resulting in a revenue deficiency for the Company of zero. 45 46

ŝ,

1 2 3 4 5 6	17 Sk Bidde 207-4	hen P. St. Cyr & Associates y Oaks Drive ford, Me. 04005 23-0215 enpstcyr@yahoo.com
7 8	Q.	Would you please explain Schedule 1 and supporting Schedule 1A – 1C?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	A.	 Schedule 1 reflects Rosebrook's Statement of Income. Column b shows the actual Oct. – Dec. 2016 3 months' balances. Column c shows actual Jan. – Sept. 2017 nine months' balances. Column d actual Oct. 2016 – Sept. 2017 combined balances. Column e shows the pro forma adjustments for known and measurable changes to test year revenues and expenses. The pro forma adjustments are further supported by schedule 1A. Column f shows the pro forma test year balances. During the twelve months ended September 30, 2017, the actual operating revenues amounted to \$270,092. Rosebrook's total operating expenses amounted to \$286,077, resulting in a net operating loss of (\$15,985). Net Income (Loss) for the same period is (\$27,247). The Company made 1 pro forma adjustment to operating expenses totaling \$102,232 and a few pro forma adjustments are identified on the Statement of Income – Pro forma Adjustments (Schedule 1A). A brief explanation is as follows:
27 28 29		Pro forma Adjustment to Operating Revenues
30 31		Operating Revenues – \$102,232
32 33 34 35		The Company has increased test year revenues for the proposed amount of revenues necessary to cover its expenses and allow it to earn its proposed rate of return.
36 37		Pro forma Adjustments to Expense
38 39		Operating Expenses:
40		<u>PUC Audit - \$1,000</u>
41 42 43 44 45 46		In anticipation of a PUC audit, the Company estimated that it will incur \$3,000. No such audit expenses are reflected in the test year. The Company is proposing to recover the proposed audit expense of \$3,000 over 3 years, resulting in a test year adjustment of \$1,000.

s. ²⁰

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005
4	207-423-0215
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com
6	
7	Lease Agreements - \$342
8	
9	During the test year Rosebrook incurred \$7,794 for rent of lease space,
10	both at Laconia. NH. and Plainville, CT. Going forward, Rosebrook expects to
11	pay \$618 per month or \$7,416 per year at Laconia, NH and \$60 per month or
12	\$720 per year at Plainville, CT., totaling \$8,136. The difference between what
13	Rosebrook expects to pay and what it did pay during the test year results in a pro
14	forma adjustment of \$342.
15	
16	Amortization of Organizational Costs - \$6,491
17	
18	Abenaki incurred due diligence costs in the process of purchasing the
19	water system and gaining PUC approval (DW 16-448). The recovery of these
20	costs are consistent with the PUC's approval of similar due diligence costs
21	incurred when Abenaki purchased Lakeland and White Rock. Rosebrook is
22 23	proposing to amortize the due diligence costs over an 8 year period.
23 24	Amortization of Heilitz Plant Association Costs #4.520
24 25	Amortization of Utility Plant Acquisition Costs - \$4,529
2 <i>5</i> 26	Abenaki incurred a 10% premium above the book value of the assets
20	purchased. The recovery of these costs is based on its management, operational,
28	financial and administrative strengths of the organization. The recovery also
29	would align the premium with other jurisdictions such as MA. and CT.
30	Rosebrook proposes to amortize the 10% premium over an 8 year period.
31	Reservork proposes to amoraze the 1070 premium over an o year period.
32	During the twelve months ended September 30, 2017, Rosebrook incurred
33	\$5,090 and \$17,614 in state and local property taxes. At this point, the Company
34	is unaware of any increase or decrease in property taxes. As such, the Company
35	has not made any pro forma adjustments. However, it reserves the right to
36	increase and / or decrease property taxes for any known and measurable change
37	likely to be known later this year.
38	
39	With the proposed increase in revenue offset by the proposed increase in
40	expenses, there is also a related increase in the federal income and state business
41	taxes. The increase in federal income taxes represents the additional tax liability
42	due to the increase in taxable income. The increase in state business taxes
43	represents the additional tax liability due to the increase in gross profits.
44	
45	
46	

.

• *

1 2	17 Sky	hen P. St. Cyr & Associates y Oaks Drive								
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005									
4	207-423-0215									
5	stephe	npstcyr@yahoo.com								
6										
7		The Company has provided the calculation of the federal income taxes and								
8		the state business taxes (Schedule 1B). The Company has also provided the								
9		effective tax factor (Schedule 1C).								
10										
11		The total pro forma adjustments to Operating Expenses amount to								
12		\$37,574.								
13										
14		The net of the pro forma adjustments to operating revenue of \$102,232								
15		and the pro forma adjustments to operating expenses of \$37,574 results in a net								
16		pro forma adjustment of \$64,658. When the net operating income associated with								
17		the pro forma adjustments is added to net operating income from the test year, the								
18		pro forma test year net operating income totals \$48,673. The pro forma test year								
19		net operating income of \$48,673 allows Rosebrook to cover its expenses and								
20		earn its proposed 7.78% return on its investments.								
21	0	Deep that complete more description of the new Group Ii of the								
22 23	Q.	Does that complete your description of the pro forma adjustments to revenues and								
23 24		expenses?								
24	Α.	Yes.								
26	А.	1 cs.								
27	Q.	Please describe Schedule 2, the Balance Sheet.								
28	Q.	Trease deserve senedule 2, the Datanee Sheet.								
29	A.	Please note that the Balance Sheet is for Abenaki (Total Company) and not just								
30	1 .	Rosebrook. Abenaki has \$2,002,892 total assets at September 30, 2017.								
31		\$1,689,653 of the \$2,002,892 total assets is net plant, of which is completed and								
32		providing service to customers. Abenaki has \$636,755 of total equity capital.								
33		Abenaki incurred a loss in 2016, which reduced retained earning and total equity.								
34		Abenaki has \$576,965 of long term debt. The long term debt balance has								
35		decreased due to payment of principal on the two outstanding loans. Accounts								
36		payable to Associated Co. has increased during the test year. A portion of the								
37		plant has been contributed.								
38										
39	Q.	Please continue with an explanation of Schedule 3, Rate Base and the supporting								
40		schedule.								
41										
42	Α.	Schedule 3 reflects Rosebrook's Rate Base for both the 4 quarter average and the								
43		pro forma year-end balance. Column $b - e$ shows the actual balance at the end of								
44		each quarter. Column f shows the average of the 4 quarter balances. Column g								
45		shows the pro forma adjustments. Column h shows the pro forma year-end								
46		balance.								

1	Stepl	nen P. St. Cyr & Associates
2	17 Sky	v Oaks Drive
3	Biddef	Cord, Me. 04005
4	207-42	23-0215
5	stephe	npstcyr@yahoo.com
6		
7		Schedule 3A shows the Rate Base – Pro forma Adjustments. Pro forma
8		adjustments 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 10 adjust the 4 quarter average balances to the
9		September 30, 2017 balances. It is appropriate to use the September 30, 2017
10		balance since all of the invested capital is fully "used and useful" and providing
11		service to customers.
12		
13		Adjustments 2 & 4 pertain to due diligence costs of \$51,931, which represents the
14		expenditures incurred in pursuing and gaining PUC approval to purchase
15		Rosebrook. The Company proposes to recover such costs over 8 years.
16		
17		Adjustment 5 pertains to the amortization of the Rosebrook 10% premium. The
18		premium is being amortized over 8 years.
19		
20		Adjustment #11 pertains to cash working capital and shows the additional cash
21		working capital due to the proposed increase in O&M expenses. The cash
22		working capital balances are further supported by Schedules 3C.
23		
24		Schedule 3B shows the reclassification of the Rosebrook due diligence costs to
25		organizational costs. The due diligence costs amounted to \$51,931. The
26 27		Company proposes to recover such costs over 8 years.
27		The Total Pro forma Sontamber 20, 2017 Pata Paga balance amounts to \$625,578
28 29		The Total Pro forma September 30, 2017 Rate Base balance amounts to \$625,578.
30	Q.	Would you please explain Schedule 4, Rate of Return Information?
31	×.	
32	A.	Please note that the Rate of Return Information is for Abenaki (Total Company)
33		and not just Rosebrook. Schedule 4 reflects the overall rate of return of 6.74%
34		and 7.78% for actual and pro foma, respectively. The weighted average rate of
35		return for the actual test year is 6.74%. It was developed by taking the actual
36		component ratios times the actual component cost rates to determine the actual
37		weighted average cost rate. The sum of the actual cost rates for equity and debt
38		equals actual weighted average rate of return. Rosebrook made only one
39		adjustment to the actual rate of return. It added 2% to the PUC determined 9.6%
40		cost of equity.
41		ever or equity.
42		The 2% represents a minimal percentage increase for the size, the increased risk
43		to own and operate a smaller water company and the increased costs of both debt
44		and equity capital. As such, the weighted average rate of return for the pro forma
45		test year is 7.78%.
46		

1 2 3	17 Sky	nen P. St. Cyr & Associates Oaks Drive								
4	Biddeford, Me. 04005 207-423-0215									
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com									
6										
7		Schedule 4 also reflects both the capital structure and the capital ratios. Abenaki								
8		has provided the capital structure for the actual test year and the pro forma test								
9		year. It should be noted that prior to the purchase of Rosebrook, Rosebrook's								
10		capital structure consisted entirely of equity capital. With both debt and equity								
11		used to finance the purchase, the Capital structure is better balanced and results in								
12		a lower rate of return.								
13										
14 15		In addition, Schedule 4 reflects the long term debt, interest expense, financing								
16		costs, total debt costs and debt costs rates for the actual test year. At 9/30/17 Abenaki has \$592,281 of outstanding long term debt. Total interest expense for								
17		the twelve months ended September 30, 2017 is \$21,762. The September 30,								
18		2017 actual cost of debt was 3.67%. There was no change to the long term debt,								
19		interest expense and financing costs for the pro forma test year.								
20		interest expense and inducents costs for the proformation year.								
21	Q.	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes.								
22										
	Α.	If Rosebrook's filing is approved as submitted, its total water Operating Revenues								
24		will amount to \$372,324.								
25	0	To Descharge house shows a data data data data data data data d								
26 27	Q.	Is Rosebrook proposing any changes to the methodology used in calculating the rates?								
28		Tates?								
29	A .	Yes. Rosebrook needs to increase its revenue from the quarterly charges. The								
30	11.	present rates generate approximately 31% of the total revenues via the quarterly								
31		charges. Rosebrook believes that the percentage from quarterly charges should be								
32		closer to 50%, particularly due to the seasonal nature of the service area. As such,								
33		Rosebrook proposes to double the quarterly charges. With doubling the quarterly								
34		charges, the proposed rates would generate approximately 45% of the total								
35		revenue.								
36										
37	Q.	When is Rosebrook proposing that the new rates be effective?								
38										
39	A .	The proposed effective date is January 1, 2018.								
40	0									
41	Q.	Is there anything else that Rosebrook would like to address?								
42 43	A.	Yes.								
43	л.	1.05.								
45										
46										

1		ohen P. St. Cyr & Associates										
2												
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005											
4	207-423-0215											
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com											
6	0											
7	Q.	Has Rosebrook prepared Step Increase schedules? If so, please describe.										
8												
9	Α.	Yes. Rosebrook is proposing 2018 additional to plant of \$210,000 including										
10		designing the engineering plans and specifications and obtaining the necessary										
11		easements reflected in the proposed step increase. The proposed additional										
12		revenue requirement associated with the planned additions to plant is \$22,645. It										
13		is derived from the net addition to rate base of \$206,563. The return on the										
14		additional plant at 5.12% is \$10,570. The additional operating expenses are										
15												
16		\$12,075. The sum of the return of \$10,570 and the additional operating expenses										
17		of \$12,075 results in an additional revenue requirement of \$22,645.										
18												
19		Please see Page 1 of 4 of the Step Increase schedule. Page 2 of 4 shows how the										
20		annual cost rate of 5.12% was derived. Page 3 of 4 shows the costs of the plant										
21		and the related depreciation. Page 4 of 4 shows the additional state and local										
22		property taxes.										
23												
24	Q.	Is there anything else that the Company would like to address?										
25		-s more any anna obe and the company would nee to data obs.										
26	A.	Yes. The Company has decided to pursue temporary rates as part of this rate case										
27		filing. The temporary rate filing will be filed under a separate cover letter.										
28		ming. The temporary rate ming will be med under a separate cover letter.										
29	Q.	Is there any other rate matter that you would like to discuss?										
30	Q.	is more any other rate matter that you would like to discuss?										
31	A.	Vos The Company has angeged the corriger of Stanham D. St. Com & Accession										
	A.	Yes. The Company has engaged the services of Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates										
32		to prepare the rate filing and pursue the rate increase throughout the rate case										
33		proceeding. St. Cyr & Associates and Abenaki have agreed on a per hour fee of										
34		\$135.00 for each hour of work performed. Abenaki and I believe that the fees are										
35		fair and reasonable. At this point, Abenaki does not anticipate utilizing outside										
36		legal council.										
37												
38	Q.	Would you please summarize what the Company is requesting in its rate filing?										
39												
40	Α.	The Company respectfully requests that the Commissioners approve an increase										
41		in annual revenues of \$102,232 for permanent rates. Also, the Company										
42		respectfully requests that the PUC approve the Company requests for step										
43		increase of \$22,645.										
44												
45												
46												

- Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 1
- 17 Sky Oaks Drive 2
- Biddeford, Me. 04005 3
- 4 207-423-0215
- stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 5 6
- Is there anything further that you would like to discuss? 7 Q. 8
- No, there is nothing further. 9 Α.
- Does this conclude your testimony? 11 Q.
- 12 13
- Α. Yes. 14
- 15

10

16

SPSt. Cyr 17 12/04/17

AWC Rosebrook Water

.

Computation of Revenue Deficiency

For the Test Year Ended September 30, 2017

		<u>Actual</u>		<u>Proforma</u>
Rate Base (Schedule 3)	\$	488,114	\$	625,578
Rate of Return (Schedule 4)		<u>6.74%</u>		<u>7.78%</u>
Operating Income Required	\$	32,920	\$	48,673
Annualized Net Operating Income (Schedule 1)		(15,985)		48,673
Operating Income (Deficiency) Surplus	\$	(48,905)	\$	(0)
Tax Effect				
Revenue Surplus (Deficiency)	<u>\$</u>	(48,905)	<u>\$</u>	(0)

AWC Rosebrook Water

Statement of Income

21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	00	7		6		сл	4	ω	Ν			No.	Line	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	Total Other Income and Deductions	Taxes Applicable to Other Than Income (409.2, 410.2, etc.)	Interest Expense (427)	Miscellaneous Nonutility Expenses (426)	Gains (Losses) From Disposition of Nonutility Property (421)	Nonutility Income (421)	Allow. for funds Used During Construction (420)	Interest and Dividend Income (419)	Other Income and Deductions	Net Operating Income (Loss)	Total Operating Expenses	Income Taxes (409.1, 410.1, 411.1, 412.1)	Taxes Other Than Income (408.1-408.13)	Amortization Expense-Other (407)	Adjustment (406)	Amortization of Utility Plant Acquisition	Construction (405)	Amortization of Contribution in Aid of	Depreciation Expense (403)	Operating and Maintenance Expense (401)	Operating Expenses:	Operating Revenues(400)	UTILITY OPERATING INCOME	(a)	Account Title (Number)	
¢	ŝ		•								¢											\$			Dec	Actu
(5,112) \$	(530)		(2,864)	-				2,334		(4,582)	73,151	(5,500)	8,394		(1,124)		(5,279)		17,360	59,300		68,569		(b)	Dec. 2016	Actual Oct
÷	↔										\$											φ			s	Ac
(22,135)	(10,732)		(10,732)							(11,403)	212,926	16,126	14,310				(10,696)		39,912	153,274		201,523		(c)	Sept 2017	Actual Jan
\$	\$										\$											Ś			s	Ac
(27,247) \$	(11,262) \$		(13,596)					2,334		(15,985)		10,626	22,704		(1,124)		(15,975)		57,272	212,574		270,092		(d)	Sept 2017	Actual Oct
⇔	\$										\$											¢			Adj	P
64,658	-									64,658	37,574	25,211	0		4,529				6,491	1,342		102,232		(e)	Adjustments	Proforma
\$	\$										69											ŝ			10/1/	-
37,411	(11,262)		(13,596)					2,334		48,673	323,651	35,837	22,704		3,405		(15,975)		63,763	213,916		372,324		(1)	10/1/16 - 9/30/17	Proforma

Schedule 1

\$

1,342

<u>0</u>

	AWC Rosebrook Water Statement of Income - Proforma Adjustments		hedule 1A Page 1 of 3
	Operating Revenues		
1	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	372,324
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		270,092
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	102,232
	To adjust test year revenues for the additional revenue needed in order for the Company to its rate of return and to recover its expenses.	earn	
	Operation and Maintenance Expenses		
2	PUC Audit		
	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	1,000
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		<u>0</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	1,000
	To adjust test year expenses for costs associated with PUC audit of test year expenses (\$3,000 / 3 years)		
3	Lease Agreements		
	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	8,136
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		7,794
	Proforma Adjustment	\$	342

Total Proforma Adjustments to Operation and Maintenance Expense

To adjust test year expenses for costs associated with rent of lease space.

Amortization of Organizational Costs

4 Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017 \$ 6,491 Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017 Proforma Adjustment <u>\$ 6,491</u>

To record amortization of organization costs (\$51,931 / 8 years)

	Amortization of 10% Premium		chedule 1A Page 2 of 3
5	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	4,529
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		<u>0</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	4,529
	To record amortization of organization costs (\$36,234 / 8 years)		
	Taxes other than Income Taxes		
	State Utility Property Taxes		
6	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	5,090
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		<u>5,090</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	
	Town of Carroll Property Taxes		
7	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	12,915
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		<u>12,915</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	
	Town of Bethlehem Property Taxes		
8	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	4,699
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		4,699
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	-
	Total Proforma Adjustments to Taxes other than Income See 3B for calculation of additional state and local property taxes.	<u>\$</u>	<u> </u>
	Income Taxes		
	Federal Income Taxes		
9	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	19,368
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		<u>0</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	19,368

	State Business Taxes						
10	Proforma Oct. 2016 - Sept. 2017	\$	5,088				
	Actual Oct. 2016 - Sept 2017		(755)				
	Proforma Adjustment	\$	5,843				
	To adjust test year expenses for the increase in state business taxes due to the increase in revenue. For additional support, please see schedule 5.						
	Total Proforma Adjustment to Income Taxes	<u>\$</u>	25,211				
	Total Proforma Adjustment to Operating Expense	<u>\$</u>	37,574				

AWC Rosebrook Water

Schedule 1B

Income Tax Computation

	Actual	<u>Proforma</u>
Total Rate Base	\$488,114	\$625,578
Equity Component of Cost of Capital	<u>4.97%</u>	<u>6.01%</u>
Operating Net Income Required	\$24,277	\$37,596
Tax Multiplier (Schedule 5A)	<u>15,792</u>	24,456
Income Required before Income Taxes	\$40,070	\$62,053
Less: NH Business Profits Tax @ 8.2%	<u>3,286</u>	<u>5,088</u>
Income subject to Federal Taxes	\$36,784	\$56,964
Less: Federal Income Tax @ 34%	<u>12,506</u>	<u>19,368</u>
Income after Income Taxes	<u>\$24,277</u>	<u>\$37,596</u>

Schedule 1C

AWC Rosebrook Water

3

Effective Tax Factor

Taxable Income	100.00%
Less: NH Business Profits Tax	8.20%
Federal Taxable Income	91.80%
Federal Income Tax Rate	34.00%
Effective Federal Income Tax Rate	31.21%
Add: NH Business Profit Tax	8.20%
Effective Tax Rate	39.41%
Percent of Income Available if No Tax	100.00%
Effective Tax Rate	39.41%
Percent Used as a Divisor in Determining	
the Revenue Requirement	60.59%
Tax Multiplier	65.05%

Page 18 of 32

AWC (Total Company)

Balance Sheet - Assets and Other Debits

Schedule	2-1

			1	1
		Ref	9/30/2017	12/31/2016
Line	Account Title (Number)	Sch.	Balance	Balance
No.	(a)	(b)		(c)
	UTILITY PLANT	(-)		
1	Utility Plant (101-106)	F-6	\$ 2,901,759	\$ 2,681,245
2	Less: Accumulated Depr. and Amort. (108-110)	F-6	1,234,856	1,170,820
3	Net Plant		\$ 1,666,903	
4	Utility Plant Acquisition Adj. (Net) (114-115)	F-7	22,750	22,750
5	Total Net Utility Plant		\$ 1,689,653	
	OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS		+ 1,000,000	· 1,000,170
6	Nonutility Property (121)	F-14		
7	Less: Accumulated Depr. and Amort. (122)	F-15		
8	Net Nonutility Property			
9	Investment in Associated Companies (123)	F-16		
11	Utility Investments (124)	F-16	3,210	3,210
12	Other Investments	F-16	0,210	0,210
13	Special Funds(126-128)	F-17		
14	Total Other Property & Investments		\$ 3,210	\$ 3,210
	CURRENT AND ACCRUED ASSETS		\$ 0,210	• 0,210
16	Cash (131)		17,339	168,713
17	Special Deposits (132)	F-18	17,000	100,710
18	Other Special Deposits (133)	F-18		
19	Working Funds (134)	1-10		
20	Temporary Cash Investments (135)	F-16		
21	Accounts and Notes Receivable-Net (141-144)	F-19	67,988	25,182
22	Accounts Receivable from Assoc. Co. (145)	F-21	07,300	20,102
23	Notes Receivable from Assoc. Co. (146)	F-21		
24	Materials and Supplies (151-153)	F-21	12,116	12,724
25	Stores Expense (161)	1-22	12,110	12,724
26	Prepayments-Other (162)	F-23	7,511	851
27	Prepaid Taxes (163)	F-38	1,011	
28	Interest and Dividends Receivable (171)	F-24		
29	Rents Receivable (172)	F-24		
30	Accrued Utility Revenues (173)	F-24		67,940
31	Misc. Current and Accrued Assets (174)	F-24	-	07,340
32	Total Current and Accrued Assets	1-24	\$ 104,954	\$ 275,410
02	DEFERRED DEBITS		<u> </u>	\$ 275,410
32	Unamortized Debt Discount & Expense (181) - Note 1	F-25		
33	Extraordinary Property Losses (182)	F-26		
34	Prelim. Survey & Investigation Charges (183)	F-20 F-27	83,560	43,405
35	Clearing Accounts (184)	1-21	03,300	45,405
36	Temporary Facilities (185)			
37	Miscellaneous Deferred Debits (186)	F-28	112.015	100 664
38	Research & Development Expenditures (187)	F-28 F-29	112,015	122,664
39	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (190) - Note 2	F-29 F-30	9,500	10,300
40	Total Deferred Debits	F-30	\$ 205.075	
-10	TOTAL ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS		\$ 2,002,892	\$ 1,988,164
			_ψ2,002,092	ψ 1,900,104

Page 19 of 32

AWC (Total Company)

Balance Sheet - Equity Capital and Liabilities

		Ref.	9	/30/2017	12	2/31/2016
Line	Account Title (Number)	Sch.		Balance	'	Balance
No.	(a) EQUITY CAPITAL	(b)	 		<u> </u>	(c)
		E 04				
1 2	Common Stock Issued (201) Preferred Stock Issued (204)	F-31				
3	Capital Stock Subscribed (202,205)	F-31				
4		F-32				
5	Stock Liability for Conversion (203, 206) Premium on Capital Stock (207)	F-32				
6	Installments Received On Capital Stock (208)	F-31				
7		F-32		500 504		500 504
8	Other Paid-In Capital (209,211) Discount on Capital Stock (212)	F-33		589,521		589,521
9	Capital Stock Expense(213)	F-34 F-34				
10	Retained Earnings (214-215)	F-34 F-3		47 024		65 004
10				47,234		65,231
12	Reacquired Capital Stock (216) Total Equity Capital	F-31		626 755	-	054750
12	LONG TERM DEBT		\$	636,755	\$	654,752
13	Bonds (221)	F 25				
14	Reacquired Bonds (222)	F-35 F-35				
15	Advances from Associated Companies (223)	F-35 F-35				
16	Other Long-Term Debt (224)	F-35 F-35		E76 056		606 667
17	Total Long-Term Debt - Note 1	F-33	\$	576,956 576,956	\$	606,667 606,667
	CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES		┡	576,956	\$	000,007
18	Accounts Payable (231)			16,697		70,935
19	Notes Payable (232)	F-36		10,097		70,935
20	Accounts Payable to Associated Co. (233)	F-30 F-37		208,581		113,053
20	Notes Payable to Associated Co. (233)	F-37		200,501		113,055
22	Customer Deposits (235)	F-37				
22	Accrued Taxes (236)	F-38		7,536		2
23	Accrued Interest (237)	F-30		1,928		2
25	Accrued Dividends (238)			1,920		
26	Matured Long-Term Debt (239)	F-39				
27	Matured Interest (240)	F-39				
28	Misc. Current and Accrued Liabilities (241)	F-39				
29	Total Current and Accrued Liabilities	1-55	\$	234,742	\$	183,990
20	DEFERRED CREDITS		⊢	207,772	Ψ	100,990
30	Unamortized Premium on Debt (251)	F-25				
31	Advances for Construction (252)	F-40				
32	Other Deferred Credits (253)	F-41				
33	Accumulated Deferred Investment	1-41				
	Tax Credits (255)	F-42				
34	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes:	1 12				
35	Accelerated Amortization (281)	F-45				
36	Liberalized Depreciation (282) - Note 2	F-45		170,951		143,651
37	Other (283)	F-45		170,001		1 10,001
38	Total Deferred Credits		\$	170,951	\$	143,651
	OPERATING RESERVES		١ <u> </u>		Ť	
39	Property Insurance Reserve (261)	F-44				
40	Injuries and Damages Reserve (262)	F-44				
41	Pensions and Benefits Reserves (263)	F-44				
42	Miscellaneous Operating Reserves (265)	F-44				
43	Total Operating Reserves		\$	-	\$-	
	CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION		۲Ť		Ť	
44	Contributions In Aid of Construction (271)	F-46		631,644		635,660
45	Accumulated Amortization of C.I.A.C. (272)	F-46	ļ	248,156		236,556
46	Total Net C.I.A.C.		\$	383,488	\$	399,104
46	TOTAL EQUITY CAPITAL AND LIABILITIES			2,002,892		1,988,164
			· · ·	,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Schedule 2-2

P
ge
22
of
32

AWC Rosebrook Water

Schedule 3

Rate Base Line Account Title No. (a)		1 Plant in Service									<u> </u>					
December 2016 Balance (b)	\$1,382,473	<u>651,169</u>	731,304	36,234	0	767,538	5,408	851	(448,708)		168,217	168,217 <u>(81,751)</u>	168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> \$411,555	168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> <u>\$411,555</u>	168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> <u>\$411,555</u>	168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> <u>\$411,555</u> Balance is the same
March 2017 Balance (c)	\$1,398,584	<u>660,169</u>	738,415	36,234	0	774,649	4 800		4,788	4,788 (448,708)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	., 4,788 (448,708) 168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> <u>\$421,995</u>	., 4,788 (448,708) 168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> <u>\$421,995</u>	4,788 (448,708) 168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> <u>\$421,995</u>	4,788 (448,708) 168,217 <u>(81,751)</u> <u>\$421,995</u> as the 9/30/17 Bala
June 2017 Balance (d)	\$1,451,681	665,005	786,676	36,234	0	822,910		4,800	4,800 4,811	4,800 4,811 (448,708)	4,800 4,811 (448,708) 175,348	4,800 4,811 (448,708) 175,348 <u>(97,877)</u>	4,800 4,811 (448,708) 175,348 <u>(97,877)</u> <u>\$461,284</u>	4,800 4,811 (448,708) 175,348 <u>(97,877)</u> <u>\$461,284</u>	4,800 4,811 (448,708) 175,348 <u>(97,877)</u> <u>\$461,284</u>	140
September 2017 Balance (e)	\$1,553,770	678,505	875,265	36,234	0	911,499		4,800	4,800 4,154	4,800 4,154 (446,331)	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u>	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u> <u>\$552,782</u>	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u> <u>\$552,782</u>	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u> <u>\$552,782</u>	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u> <u>\$552,782</u>
4 Qtrs Avg 9/30/2017 Balance (f)	\$1,446,627	<u>663,712</u>	782,915	36,234		810 1/0	010,140	\$4,952	\$4,952 3,651	\$4,952 3,651 (448,114)	\$4,952 3,651 (448,114) 172,080	(448,114) (448,114) (89,814) (89,814)	\$4,952 3,651 (448,114) 172,080 <u>(89,814)</u> \$461,904	\$4,952 3,651 (448,114) 172,080 (<u>69,814)</u> \$461,904 <u>26,210</u>	\$4,952 3,651 (448,114) 172,080 <u>(89,814)</u> \$461,904 <u>26,210</u> \$488,114	
Proforma Adjustments (g)	\$159,074	<u>18,039</u>	141,035		(2,265)		138,770	138,770 (152)	138,770 (152) 503	138,770 (152) 503 1,783	138,770 (152) 503 1,783 4,457	138,770 (152) 503 1,783 4,457 <u>(8,063)</u>	138,770 (152) 503 1,783 4,457 <u>(8,063)</u> \$137,298	138,770 (152) 503 1,783 4,457 <u>(8,063)</u> \$137,298 165	138,770 (152) 503 1,783 4,457 <u>(8,063)</u> \$137,298 <u>165</u>	137 137 137
Proforma Year End Balance (h)	\$1,605,701	<u>681,751</u>	923,950	36,234	(2,265)	957.919		4,800	4,800 4,154	4,800 4,154 (446,331)	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u>	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u> \$599,202	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u> \$599,202 26,376	4,800 4,154 (446,331) 176,537 <u>(97,877)</u> \$599,202 26,376 <u>\$625,578</u>	

	AWC Rosebrook Water Rate Base - Proforma Adjustments	Schedule 3A Page 1 of 3
	Plant in Service	
1	9/30/17 Balance	\$1,553,770
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17 Balance	<u>1,446,627</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$107,143</u>
	To adjust test year 4 quarters average plant in service to fully reflect 9/30/17 additions to plan	ht
2	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance with organization costs	\$1,605,701
	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	<u>1,553,770</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$51,931</u>
	To adjust test year 9/30/17 balance of plant in service for org. costs For additional support, please see schedule 3B	
	Total Proforma Adjustments to Plant in Service	<u>\$159,074</u>
	Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	
3	9/30/17 Balance	\$678,505
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17 Balance	663,712
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$14,793</u>
	To adjust 4 quarters test year average accumulated depreciation to fully reflect 9/30/17 accur	m depr
4	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance with org costs	\$3,246
	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	<u>0</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$3,246</u>
	To adjust test year 9/30/17 balance of accum amort of org. costs For additional support, please see schedule 3B	
	Total Proforma Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	<u>\$18,039</u>

		Schedule 3A Page 2 of 3
	Accumulated Amortization of Utility Plant Acquisition Adjustment	
5	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance with org costs	<u>\$ (2,265)</u>
	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$ (2,265)</u>
	To adjust test year 9/30/17 balance of amortization of Rosebrook 10% premium (\$36,234	/ 8yrs./ 1/2 yr)
	Total Proforma Adjustments to Accumulated Amortization of Utility Plant Acq Adj	<u>\$ (2,265)</u>
	Material & Supplies	
6	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	\$ 4,800
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17 Balance	4,952
	Proforma Adjustment	\$ <u>(152)</u>
	To adjust 4 quarter average test year to 9/30/17 balance	
	Total Proforma Adjustments to Material & Supplies	<u>\$ (152)</u>
	Prepayments	
7	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	\$4,154
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17 Balance	<u>3,651</u>
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$503</u>
	To adjust 4 quarter average test year to 9/30/17 balance	
	Total Proforma Adjustments to Prepayment	<u>\$503</u>
	Contribution in Aid of Construction	
8	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	(\$446,331)
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17Balance	(448,114)
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$1,783</u>
	To adjust 4 substance success to the sector of 0/00/47 high	

To adjust 4 quarters average test year to 9/30/17 balance.

Page	23	of	32
------	----	----	----

		5	Schedule 3A Page 3 of 3
	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		
9	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance		\$176,537
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17 Balance		<u>172,080</u>
	Proforma Adjustment		<u>\$4,457</u>
	To adjust 4 quarter average test year to 9/30/17 balance		
	Accumulated Deferred income Taxes		
10	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	\$	(97,877)
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17 Balance		(89,814)
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	(8,063)
	To adjust 4 quarter average test year to 9/30/17 balance		
	Cash Working Capital		
11	Proforma 9/30/17 Balance	\$	26,376
	4 Quarters Average 9/30/17 Balance		26,210
	Proforma Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	165
	Total Proforma Adjustments to Cash Working Capital		

Total Proforma Adjustments to Cash Working Capital See Schedule 3C for calculation of cash working capital.

Page 24 of 32

AWC Rosebrook Water

Schedule 3B

Plant / Accumulated Depreciation / Depreciation Expense

To reclass Due Dilligence Costs (1	183-15-09) Organizational Costs to Plant
------------------------------------	--

	TO TECIDOS DUE DIIIgence Costs	(103-13-03) Olya	inizationai		III U	
PUC				Amort.	Annual	Accum
Acct. No.	Description		<u>Cost</u>	Rate	Cost	<u>Amort</u>
301	Organizational costs	<u>\$</u>	51,931	12.50% <u>\$</u>	6,491 \$	3,246

Page 25 of 32

Schedule 3C

AWC Rosebrook Water

Working Capital

	10/1/16 -	10/1/16 -
	9/30/2017	9/30/2017
	Proforma	Actual
	Amount	<u>Amount</u>
Operating and Maintenance Expenses	\$213,916	\$212,574
45/365	<u>12.33%</u>	<u>12.33%</u>
Working Capital	<u>\$26,376</u>	\$26,210

AWC Rosebrook Water

Rate of Return Information

Proforma Overall Rate of Return	Component Ratio	Component Cost Rate	Weighted Average Cost Rate
Equity Capital	51.81%	11.60%	6.01%
Long Term Debt	48.19%	3.67%	1.77%
Total Capital	100.00%		7.78%

Actual		Component	Component	Weighted Average
Overall Rate of Return		Ratio	Cost Rate	Cost Rate
Equity Capital		51.81%	9.60%	4.97%
Long Term Debt		48.19%	3.67%	1.77%
Total Capital		100.00%		6.74%
Capital Structure for	9/30/2017	9/30/2017	9/30/2017	9/30/2017
Ratemaking Purposes	Proforma	Proforma %	Actual	Actual %
Common Stock				
Other Paid in Capital	589,521	47.97%	589,521	47.97%
Retained Earnings	47,234	3.84%	47,234	3.84%
Total Equity	\$ 636,755	51.81%	\$ 636,755	51.81%
Long Term Debt	\$592,281	48.19%	\$592,281	48.19%
Total Capital	\$ 1,229,036	100.00%	\$ 1,229,036	100.00%
Long Term Debt	9/30/2017	9/30/2017		
	Proforma	Actual		
CoBank Loans	\$592,281	\$592,281		
Total Long Term Debt	\$592,281	\$592,281	\$0	\$0

Schedule 4 Page 1 of 2

Schedule 4 Page 2 of 2

Proforma Cost of Debt	9/30/2017 Amount	Intere Rate			Total Interest	Cost Rate
2014 CoBank Loan for \$300,000 2016 CoBank Loan for \$400,000	\$205,080 \$387,201		\$8% \$8,26 \$5% \$13,50			
Total Cost of Debt	\$592,281		\$21,76	2 \$0	\$21,762	3.67%

Actual Cost of Debt	9/30/2017 Actual		nterest Rate	Interest Expense	Amortization Of Fin Costs	Total Interest	Cost Rate
2014 CoBank Loan for \$300,000 2016 CoBank Loan for \$400,000	\$ 205,080 387,201		3.68% 3.55%	• • • • • • • • •		\$ 8,262 13,500	4.03% 3.49%
Total Cost of Debt	\$592,281			\$21,762	\$ -	\$21,762	3.67%

Cost of Common Equity Capital

The Company is utilizing a cost of common equity of 11.6% for pro forma purposes.

Abenaki / Rosebrook

Calculation of Rates

Revenue Requirement from Quarterly Charges

	Per 1,000 gallons	Revenue Requirer	<u>Meter Size</u> 5/8 5/8 x 3/4 2 3 6
		Revenue Requirement from Consumptions Charges	<u>Gallons</u> 10/01/2016 - <u>9/30/2017</u> 1,710,005 4,453,500 2,590,378 1,879,074 1,090,834 23,520,013 <u>35,243,804</u>
		ons Charges	Percentage 4.85% \$ 12.64% 5.33% 3.10% <u>66.74%</u> <u>100.00%</u> <u>\$</u>
			Revenue Requirement as % of <u>Gallons</u> \$ 18,064.90 47,048 27,365 19,851 11,524 248,471 <u>\$ 372,324</u>
			Number of <u>Meters</u> 107 254 46 2 3 3 1
			Present Quarterly <u>Charge</u> 29.72 29.72 98.08 318.01 692.48 2,772.88
			Proposed P Quarterly <u>Charge</u> 59.44 196.16 636.02 1,384.96 5,545.76
		\$ 206,508	
	5.86		Revenue Requirement from Prop <u>Otrly Ch</u> 36,093 5,088 16,620 22,183 165,816
		55.46%	44.54%
	Ş		~ 도 찍 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
270,092	270,757	187,849	Proof of Test Year 12,720 30,196 18,047 2,544 8,310 11,092 82,908
		69.38%	30.62%

SPSt. Cyr 12/4/2017

0.25%

Difference <u>\$ 665</u>

Page 28 of 32

NHPUC Docket DW 17-165	Step Increase
Abenaki Water Company - Rosebrook	Page 1 of 4

Page 29 of 32

Revenue Requirement - Step Increase for 2018 Additions to Plant

RATE BASE		Amount
2017 Plant in Service	\$	210,000
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	_	3,438
NET PLANT IN SERVICE	\$	206,563
Cash Working Capital	_	-
TOTAL RATE BASE	\$	206,563
Return on Additional Plant @ 5.12%	\$	10,570
O&M Expenses Depreciation Expense State Utility Property Taxes Local Property Taxes Federal and State Income Taxes	\$	6,875 1,396 3,804
Operating Expenses	\$	12,075
Additional Revenue Requirement	\$	22,645
Total Proposed Proforma Operating Revenues	\$	372,324
% Increase assoicated with Step Increase		6.08%

_
Jag
e Q
0
32

NHPUC Docket DW 17-165

Step Increase Page 2 of 4

Abenaki Water Company - Rosebrook

Debt Financing					Rate of Return
\$ 128,000	Amount				
5.00%	Rate	Interest			
6,400	<u>Interest</u>	Annual			
5.00% \$ 6,400 \$ 150 \$	<u>of Fin Costs</u>	Amortization	Annual		
ŝ		~			
6,550	<u>Debt</u>	Cost of	Innual	Total	
5.12%	Rate	Cost	Annual		

Calculation of projected amortization of finance costs:

Annaul Amortization of Finance Costs	Loan Term (Years)				Estimated 2018 Financing Costs:
		Total	Other Fees	Consultant Fees	Bank Fees
\$ 150	20	\$ 3,000		2,500	\$ 500

Pa
ge
31 0
Ξū
N

Step Increase Page 3 of 4

NHPUC Docket DW 17-165

Abenaki Water Company - Rosebrook

2018 Additions to plant and related depreciation

	Purchase and Install Meters	Lower Services / Install Curb Stops Replace and Install New Services	Construct Pump Station(s)	Pressure Reduction Design	Install SCADA system	Project <u>Description</u>
Total	Meters	Services	Structures	Structures	Structures	Account <u>Description</u>
	334	333	304	304	304	Account
\$ 210,000	5,000	15,000	100,000	70,000	20,000	Amount
l co	5.00%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	10.00%	Depr Rate
\$ 6,875	250	375	2,500	1,750	2,000	Annual <u>Depr</u>
<u>\$ 6,875</u> <u>\$ 3,438</u> <u>\$ 206,563</u>	125	188	1,250	875	1,000	Accum <u>Depr</u>
\$ 206,563	4,875	14,813	98,750	69,125	19,000	Net <u>Plant</u>

	Page 32 of 32
NHPUC Docket DW 17-165 Abenaki Water Company - Rosebrook	Step Increase Page 4 of 4
PROPERTY TAXES	
	Amount
Property Tax Expense:	
State Utility Property Taxes State Assessed Value as of April 1, 2016 Net Plant at 12/31/15 Assessment Adjustment Percentage	\$ 750,200 732,776 102.38%
Net Book Value of 2018 Additions to Plant	\$ 206,563
Assessment Adjustment Percentage applied to Net Book Value of 2017 Additions to Plant	\$ 211,474
State Property Tax Rate (per \$1,000)	6.60
State Utility Property Taxes	\$ 1,396
Town of Carroll Property Taxes Assessed Vaulation Net Plant in Service, 12/31/15 Assessment Adjustment Percentage	\$ 625,907 565,878 110.61%
Net Book Value of 2018 Additions to Plant	\$ 206,563
Assessment Adjustment Percentage applied to Net Book Value of 2017 Additions to Plant	\$ 228,475
Local Property Tax Rate (per \$1,000)	16.65
Local Property Taxes	\$3,804

Note: Assumes that Net Bood Value of 2018 Additions to Plant are in Town of Carroll.